

1. Prove rigorously, using a direct proof (possibly cases/WLOG):

- (a) Prop. - Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If at least one of them is divisible by 13, then  $xyz$  is too.
- (b) Prop. - Let  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If they have different odd remainders on division by 5, then  $5 \mid xy + 2$ .
- (c) Prop. - Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If exactly two of them have the same parity, then  $xy + yz + xz$  is also of that same parity.

2. Write the logical equivalence that governs “or conclusion” style proof, then use a Discrete Math-type truth table to confirm the equivalence. State in a sentence what behavior in the table actually shows that these statement forms are equivalent.

3. Prove rigorously, using “or conclusion” style:

- (a) Prop. - Let  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $y \neq 0$ . If  $\frac{5+x}{y}$  is rational, then  $x$  is rational or  $y$  is irrational.
- (b) Prop. - Let  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $4 \nmid n$ , then  $n \div 4$  has remainder 1 or else  $4 \mid (3n^2 + n - 2)$ .
- (c) Prop. - Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $3 \mid ab$ , then  $3 \mid a$  or  $3 \mid b$ . (Caution: the algebra here needs special care and focus.)

4. Consider this Proposition: *Let  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $x + y \neq 0$ , then  $x \neq 0$  or  $y \neq 0$ .*

- (a) Proving it by contrapositive is a better choice than direct proof; why? (Don't say: “It's easier.”)
- (b) Write a rigorous proof by contrapositive for the Proposition.

5. Prove rigorously by contrapositive:

- (a) Prop. - Let  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $xyz$  is even, then at least one of  $x$ ,  $y$ , or  $z$  is even.
- (b) If  $x + y \notin \mathbf{Q}$ , then at least one of  $x$  or  $y$  is irrational.