

85  
85

Key

19  
19

Follow directions carefully; work in the space provided. This in-class part of the exam is worth 85 points.

There are 3 full proofs. Each is marked with [P]. There are also 3 launches, each marked [La].

- [15 pts - 5 each] Precisely negate each statement below. Don't worry about whether the statements are true or not.

- (a)  $x^2 - 5x + 6 \geq 0$  only if  $x \leq -2$  or  $x \geq 3$ .

(then)  
 $x^2 - 5x + 6 \geq 0$  and  $x > -2$  and  $x < 3$ .

- (b)  $y = 7$ , and  $x^2 = 9$  if  $|x| = 3$ .

(language variations possible)  
 (-1) kept if

$y \neq 7$ , or  $|x| = 3$  but  $x^2 \neq 9$ .  
 (-2) reversed.

- (c) There exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $nx > 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

(-1) reversed  
 $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^+$   
 order

For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $nx \leq 1$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

(language variations possible, but NOT logic variations)

2. (a) [2 pts] Write the logical equivalence governing proof by cases.

(-2) other style.

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow r \equiv (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$$

- (b) [2 pts] Write the logical equivalence governing two-part proof (of biconditional statements).

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$$

3. [12 pts] [P] Use the formal definition of  $<$  to write a rigorous direct proof of the statement below. (I'll give you the definition, for a deduction.)

Proposition: Let  $p, q, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $p < q$  and  $x < y$ , then  $p + x < q + y$ .

Pf- Let  $p, q, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Assume  $p < q$  and  $x < y$ .

(NTS:  $p + x < q + y$ , meaning  $p + x + \boxed{ps} = q + y$ )  
optional

Now  $p + c = q$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  
and  $x + d = y$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

We add to obtain

$$p + x + c + d = q + y.$$

(-1)  $\mathbb{Z}$  Since  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is closed under addition,  $c + d \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Thus,  $p + x < q + y$ .

Therefore, if  $p < q$  and  $x < y$ ,  
 $p + x < q + y$ .

4. [12 pts] [P] Prove rigorously, using direct proof. (I'll give a hint, for a deduction.)

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  have the same remainder on division by 3. If that remainder is not 0, then  $3 \mid (xy - 1)$ .

Pf. - Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  have the same remainder on division by 3. Assume that remainder is not 0.

-1 accidental loss →

(NTS:  $3 \mid (xy - 1)$ , meaning  $3 \cdot \boxed{\text{int}} = xy - 1$ )  
Then the remainder can only be 1 or 2.

Case 1: Assume the remainder is 1.  
Then  $x = 3k + 1$  and  $y = 3l + 1$   
for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{So } xy - 1 = 9kl + 3k + 3l + 1 - 1 = 3(3kl + k + l)$$

We have  $3kl + k + l \in \mathbb{Z}$  by closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under mult. and addition.

Thus,  $3 \mid xy - 1$ .

Case 2: Assume the remainder is 2.  
Then  $x = 3k + 2, y = 3l + 2$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{So } xy - 1 = 9kl + 6k + 6l + 4 - 1 = 3(3kl + 2k + 2l + 1)$$

Now  $3kl + 2k + 2l + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  by closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under mult. and addition.

Thus,  $3 \mid xy - 1$ .

-2 lost

Therefore, if  $x, y$ 's remainder is not 0, then  $3 \mid xy - 1$ .

5. [12 pts] [P] Prove by any meaningful style. (Surprise:  $\geq$  algebra allowed, but for a deduction.)

Proposition: Let  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $|t| \geq 5$ , then  $2t + 8 \neq 0$ .

(Remember that formal  $<$  definition is NEVER required for concrete numbers.)

Pf - Let  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Assume  $2t + 8 = 0$ .

(NTS:  $|t| < 5$ )

By algebra,  $t = -4$ .

Since  $|-4| = 4 < 5$ ,

we have  $|t| < 5$ , as desired.

Therefore, if  $|t| \geq 5$ , then  $2t + 8 \neq 0$ .

6. [16 pts - 8 each] Consider this Proposition: Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $xy$  is even, then  $x$  is even or  $y$  is even.

(a) [La] Write the launch, up to and including one meaningful sentence BEYOND the NTS line, of a proof by contrapositive. Do NOT complete the proof.

pf - Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
 Assume  $x$  is odd and  $y$  is odd.  
 (NTS:  $xy$  is odd, meaning  $xy = 2 \cdot \boxed{\text{int}} + 1$ )  
 Then  $x = 2k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

•  
•  
•

(b) [La] Write the launch, up to and including one meaningful sentence BEYOND the NTS line, of a proof by "or conclusion" style. Do NOT complete the proof.

pf - Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
 Assume  $xy$  is even and  $x$  is odd.  
 (NTS:  $y$  is even, meaning  $y = 2 \cdot \boxed{\text{int}}$ .)  
 Then  $xy = 2n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
 (also correct to use odd defn on  $x$ )

•  
•  
•

7. Consider this Proposition: Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . and let  $f(x) = \arctan x$  and  $g(x) = mx - \frac{\pi}{2}$ . The graphs of  $f$  and  $g$  DON'T intersect if and only if  $m = 0$ .

(a) [2 pts] Ignoring the universal hypothesis for now, write the "if" direction in unchanged order.

The graphs of  $f$  and  $g$  DON'T intersect  
if  $m = 0$ .  
hypothesis.

(b) [La] [8 pts] Including the universal hypothesis, write the launch, up to and including one meaningful sentence BEYOND the NTS line, of a proof by contradiction for the "if" direction. Do NOT complete the proof.

-1 if not relevant somehow

Pf - let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f(x) = \arctan x$   
and  $g(x) = mx - \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

-2 bad negation

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $m = 0$  and the graphs of  $f$  and  $g$  DO intersect.

(NTS: any  $\#$ )

(various next) Then there exists a point  $(a, b)$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  that lies on both graphs at once.

-1 kept function variables.

⋮

8. [4 pts] Formally state the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (FTA).

Every integer greater than 1 has exactly one prime factorization, up to the order of those factors.